Central Intelligence Agency



Washington, D. C. 2050S

DIRECTORATE OF INTELLIGENCE

15 February 1985

Middle East Terrorism: The Threat and Possible US Responses

Summary

Iranian-sponsored terrorism is the greatest threat to US personnel and facilities in the Middle East. Islamic radicals in Iran view Washington's presence and influence in The Middle East as major impediments to successful export of their revolution and regard terrorism as a legitimate and effective method of attacking the US. Iranian-sponsored terrorism will continue and possibly increase so long as the clerics in Tehran do not perceive any significant costs in launching such operations.

Syria, Libya, and Palestinian radicals also are major Middle East sponsors of terrorism. Libya regards US involvement in the region as the primary obstacle to promoting Arab military action against Israel, but is reluctant to target the US directly because it fears US retaliation. Unlike the Iranians and Libyans, Syria and the Palestinian radicals do not focus on the US as their major target, and most of their attacks are directed against their exiled political opponents, moderate Arab states, or other Palestinian groups. Syria and Libya, like Iran, use terrorism as an instrument of state policy and provide extensive support for terrorists.

25X1

25X1

This paper was prepared by the Persian Gulf Division, Office of Near Eastern and South Asian Analysis, with contributions from analysts in NESA, Office of Global Issues, and Office of Soviet Analysis. It has been coordinated with the National Intelligence Officers for Counterterrorism, Near East-South Asia, and the USSR. Comments and queries are welcome and may be directed to the Chief, Persian Gulf Division, NESA

25X1

25X1

Sanitized Cop	y Approved for Release	e 2010/10/15 : CIA	-RDP87T00434R0003002	50023-3
		SEUDE I		
	Iran, Syria, and Lil	bya are all vul	erable to US	
milita	ary retaliation. US	S strikes on te	rorist camps in	
	on's Bekaa Valley wo countries, but prol			
	ional terrorist str			
	ities, particularly			
again:	st targets in Iran (ased terrorist atta	or Libya also w	ould lead to	
facil	ities in the Middle	East, Europe,	and possibly the	
US.				
	Limited US strikes	are unlikely to	cause any of the	
three	countries or radical	al Palestinian	groups to modify	
their	behavior. In fact	, limited strike	es against Iran could	
stren	gthen Islamic radica ined US military and	als in the Khom	eini regime.	
	an terrorism, howeve			
stren	gthen Iranian modera	ates who believe	violent export of	
	evolution does not s natively, sustained			
tempo	rarilydrive the I	ranians closer	to the USSR in search	×
of pro	otection.			
	Additional terroris	t attacks withou	ıt a commensurate US	•
respo	nse probably would:	strengthen a gro	owing perception in	
	rab world that the l		iger. Still, e against Palestinian	
			illed Arab civilians,	
and i	t might prompt the :	Saudis and other	Gulf states to take	
	strable actions agai		ts. The USSR would a windfall to stir up	
			also would offer new	
advan	ced weapons to Libya	a and Syria and	probably would try	
to wr arms.	ing political conces	ssions from Ira	n in return for some	·
ar ms.				
		* * *		
tivations ar	d Methods			
	Constructed Dalla			*
			were responsible for a incidents worldwide l	
ar. Iranian	-backed groups and	Palestinians ar	e by far the most acti	
re involved	in over 120 inciden	its.		
			committed to spreading	
lamic ideolo	gy, and many cleric	s view terroris	m as a legitimate, efi	ective
			S position in the Mido 30 percent in 1984, a	
mbers killed	l in Iranian-sponsor	red attacks outp	ace fatalities in stri	kes by
l other terr	orist sponsors. Se	enior Iranian le	aders such as Ayatolla	h
	meini's heir-appare er Rafsanjani are i		ter Musavi, and Consul	tative
sempry speak	ci Narsunjant are i	priodoca in 11		
•			•	

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/10/15 : CIA-RDP8/100434R000300250023-3	
. *	25X
Iran generally employs radical Lebanese or Iraqi Shia groups in its terrorist operations. These groups include:	
The Islamic Front for the Liberation of Bahrain that was responsible for an unsuccessful coup attempt in Bahrain in 1981.	
The Islamic Call (Dawa) Party, with branches in Iraq, Bahrain, and Kuwait, that has bombed the US Embassy and other targets in Kuwait and is responsible for bombings and assassinations in Iraq.	
Hizballah and Husayn Musawi's Islamic Amal that operate in Lebanon and were behind the attacks on the US Embassy and the US Marine barracks as well as the more recent kidnapings of several US citizens.	⁻ 25X
Tehran also can call on individual sympathizers worldwide, including some in the US, to mount terrorist attacks. Iran provides its surrogates with money, equipment, training, and intelligence. Moreover, its diplomats in the Middle East and Europe are involved in supporting terrorism.	25X
The most prominent trademark of Iranian-sponsored terrorism is the willingness of some perpetrators to die in the attempt. Iranian-sponsored Shia terrorists have carried out several car and truck bombings in Lebanon. Iraq, and Kuwait. In nearly every instance, the driver was killed.	25X
Libya and Syria have long used terrorism as an instrument of state policy. Both have used their operatives as well as surrogates to carry out operations. Syria was seriously embarrassed in 1981 when Syrian military personnel sent to Jordan to assassinate the Prime Minister were captured and their confessions televised. In 1982, the activities of Syrian intelligence agents in France brought Damascus into sharp conflict with Paris. Libya has been more cautious, and its use of hired assassins has increased although Libyan intelligence supervises most operations.	25X
The Syrians provide weapons, travel documents, and intelligence support for operations by their surrogate groups and permit missions by Iranian-sponsored radicals in Lebanon. Palestinian radicals, including the Abu Nidal Group, the PFLP-GC, the Fatah rebels, and Saiqa, together with Jordanian dissidents, Shia radicals associated with the Iranians, and the Armenian terrorist organization ASALA, have received Syrian assistance or training at camps in Syria and Lebanon.	25X
Tripoli provides funds and training to radicals worldwide, but generally avoids using Libyans to carry out operations against targets other than Libyan dissidents and selected pro-US heads of state. Qadhafi hires assassins and thugs when Libyan access to its targets is limited or when he wants to conceal Libyan involvement. The most recent was the arrest of Maltese hit men in Egypt for the attempted murder of a former Libyan Prime Minister. Qadhafi also encourages terrorism by the PLO rebels and other Palestinian groups aligned with Syria. The recent mining of the Red Sea and the bombing of Omdurman, Sudan, by a Libyan TU-22 bomber, however, indicate Qadhafi is willing to use conventional military forces for subversive operations.	25X1
The Palestinian Abu Nidal groupwhich is not a member of the PLOremains the primary Palestinian organization responsible for terrorism. The	

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/10/15: CIA-RDP87T00434R000300250023			

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1 25X1

group once was supported by Iraq, which appears to have abandoned international terrorism over a year ago. Abu Nidal now depends on Syria and may undertake operations at Syrian direction. Several other radical Palestinian groups aligned with Syria also are involved in terrorist operations in Lebanon, Israel, and the West Bank. Reports from a variety of sources over the past year indicate that the PFLP-GC, the Popular Struggle Front, and Saiqa have established ties with radical Lebanese Shia and may be providing them with assistance in terrorist operations.

The eight groups within the PLO have abided by that organization's ban on international terrorism outside Israel and the West Bank since 1974. Yasir Arafat's mainstream Fatah organization is the principal enforcer of the ban and supports diplomatic means to solve the Palestinian problem. Some PLO groups, however, are providing assistance to Lebanese Shia terrorists, and Arafat is under pressure to respond to Syrian-sponsored Palestinian strikes against Fatah officials. The most striking feature of recent Palestinian terrorism has been the attacks of pro-and anti-Arafat groups on each other.

Hitting US Targets

We do not believe the Syrians or the Palestinians would deliberately attack US targets unless US actions in Lebanon or on the Arab-Israeli issue were perceived as posing a direct, immediate threat to them. The Syrians, however, have not moved forcefully to prevent Iranian-sponsored terrorism against US targets in Lebanon. Syria condons Iranian transits through Damascus Airport and use of Syrian-controlled territory in Lebanon's Bekaa Valley for terrorist-training activities.

During the past 18 months, Libya has tried to sponsor two terrorist operations against US personnel or facilities in Latin America and Africa. Libya also has publicly praised the killing of US personnel by pro-Iranian terrorists in Lebanon. Tripoli may have provided money to the terrorists responsible for murdering the US Naval attache in Athens in late 1983, and to a Portuguese group suspected of an attempted attack on the US Embassy in Lisbon last fall. Qadhafi threatened last June that he would "export terrorism" to the US to retaliate for the "US-inspired" attack on his headquarters by dissidents last May. Libyan capabilities will be constrained by Tripoli's generally inept foreign intelligence network, its lack of an official presence in target countries, and fear of US retaliation.

Iran has amply demonstrated its willingness to hit US personnel and facilities. It is the most likely state to try to strike additional high-profile US targets in the Middle East to demonstrate the inability of the US to protect its interests, and to portray such attacks as actions by local "Islamic patriots." Potential Iranian or Libyan targets include:

-- US Embassies and associated facilities, notably in the Persian Gulf states and in Beirut, Baghdad, Cairo, Khartoum, Athens, and possibly Tunis. The Iranians and their radical Shia allies in particular might want to strike the Embassy in Beirut, to demonstrate US impotence, or Baghdad, to harm US-Iraqi relations.

4 . SECRET

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/10/15 : CIA-RDP87T00434R000300250023-3	25V1
	25 X 1
US military facilities in the Persian Gulf area. Examples include facilities in Bahrain or Oman supporting US warships and the US Military Training Mission in Saudi Arabia.	
Qadhafi might target US military facilities in Europe, such as the NATO cruise missile base at Comiso in Sicily or the Hellinikon airbase in Greece, to exploit local opposition to the US military presence.	
Iran and possibly Libya are likely to try to strike <u>inside the US</u> if they have been, or believe themselves about to be, attacked directly by the US.	25X1
	25X1
	-

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1 25X1



Sanitized Copy Approve	020			
		•		
If the US fails to anian terrorism will	respond to attack	s by Iranian-s	ponsored groups,	cano
anian-sponsored atta	cks by inaction bed	cause Iran and	its radical Shia a	llies
gar <u>d the US as a con</u>				
st.				
A limited or faile				
radicals in Tehran w				
uld argue that the in nnot counteract the				
Tehran, Iranian rad	icals might deliber	rately stage a	terrorist attack in	n
pes of provoking US numbers of provoking US numbers of the unlikely to cause In				ıke
antikely to cause II	un to mounty its t	charior signiff	· cancig •	1

7 · SECRET

	Samulzed Copy Approved for Release 20 to/ to/ 13 . CIA-NDPO/ 100434N000300230023-3	
•		25 X
		•
	Nonetheless, Iran has moderated its behavior in the past when confronted by heavy pressure. Tehran ended the hostage crisis, for example, when its leaders believed a more aggressive US administration had been elected. We believe a sustained campaign of US military and economic pressure against Iranian terrorism would eventually strengthen moderates within the Iranian regime who believe that violent export of the revolution does not serve Iranian interests. Alternatively, sustained US pressure could drive Iran toward the Soviet Unionat least temporarilyin search of protection.	25X 25X
	Qadhafi would likely try to strike at US naval and air units near the Libyan coast and offer to assist Iranian terrorist operations against the US. He also could attempt to use supporters among the Libyan student population in the US to bomb US air terminals or federal buildings, as occurred in the UK last year, although their activities are closely monitored by the FBI. Qadhafi, however, is more cautious than the Iranians and may be more restrained in his response.	 25X
	Both the Iranians and Libyans would be likely to use US retaliatory strikes to rally their domestic populations and to move closer to Moscow. Qadhafi is likely to offer Moscow greater access to Libyan ports and military facilities and to seek additional Soviet arms. The Iranians would seek arms from Moscow or Eastern Europe, particularly antiship and antiaircraft weapons.	25X
	US retaliation against Palestinian facilities would increase US vulnerability to attacks from groups that usually do not target US installations, such as the PFLP-GC, PSF, and the Fatah dissidents. The more moderate wing of Fatah would condemn the US but is not likely to resort to international terrorism if the US attacked Palestinian radicals.	25X
1	Arab Reactions .	
; ; ; ; ;	Additional terrorist attacks without a commensurate US response are likely to strengthen a growing perception in the Arab world that the US is a paper tiger. The moderate Arabsvulnerable to radical-sponsored terrorism themselveswould consider US unwillingness or inability to retaliate as a demonstration of declining US influence in the region. Moreover, these states view US inaction as contributing to the spread of terrorism that threatens their interests. Syria and Libya also would regard continued US inaction as indicating a lack of resolve to counter actions undermining US interests and, in the case of Libya, could embolden Tripoli into additional terrorist attacks.	25X
m 9 1 0	Saudi Arabia, Egypt, and the smaller Gulf states probably would criticize strongly any US retaliatory strike against Syria or Palestinian elements but might only mildly criticize strikes against Libya and Iran. Only surgical US strikes against groups responsible for carrying out terrorist actssuch as the Hizballah in Lebanonwould enjoy the unqualified private support of the Gulf Arabs and Iraq. A general reluctance to support any US military action against an Arab or Muslim target, however, would inhibit a public endorsement of the US move.	25X1
(or the ob-move.	Z3 V

Sanitized Copy Approved for Re	elease 2010/10/15 : CIA-RD	P87T00434R000300250023-3	
	SECKET		25X ²
	·		
·			
US retaliation against S casualties or was viewed by m and other Gulf Arabs to take could include scaling back mitemporarily and possibly even Surgical retaliatory strikes adversely affect bilateral re US.	dost Arabs as excessive demonstrable action ag litary or economic coo recalling ambassadors against Libya or Iran	ainst the US. Such actions peration at least for consultations. probably would not	25X
NIO/NESA disagrees th	at the moderate Arab c	tates would take any	
concrete action to di retaliatory strike ag themselves to routine of use of force by ex	minish their ties with ainst Syria. The NIO expressions of Arab s ternal actors. The re le terms with Syriaw	the US in the event of a believes they would limit olidarity and condemnation action of Iraq and Egyptould be even more limited	25X
King Hussein would sympa Lebanon but would associate h attacks on Palestinians becau Yasir Arafat to accept his ap Israeli conflict.	imself with Arab prote se this could undermin	e his efforts to persuade	25 X
Concern about Arab criti attack against Libya. Mubara to "teach Qadhafi a lesson," of the Red Sea last summer. elements of the PLOwould di Syrian relations, Mubarak bel requires President Assad's co even more determined to thwar	k, nonetheless, would which he himself has c A strike against Syria sturb Egyptian leaders ieves Egypt's full reapperation. A US attac	onsidered since the mining and even pro-Syrian . Despite chilly Egyptian- dmission into Arab fora	25X
Soviet Reaction. The So propaganda windfall provided vague warning against further ended, and use the attacks to transit or base rights or to	by US retaliation. The attacks, seek to claim undermine Arab willin	ey would probably issue a m credit when US strikes gness to grant US forces	25X 25X
In Libya, the USSR would threat. The Soviets probably greater aid for Libyan air an efforts require greater Sovie might be more willing to sell fighter aircraft.	would offer increased d coastal defenses whi t access to Libyan mil	intelligence support and le implying that such itary facilities. Moscow	25X [,]
Moscow would hope a US s differences and hinder Arafat The USSR might step up shipme signal the Arab world and the ally. The Soviets, however, commitment to intervene milit	's pursuit of a joint nts of advanced weapon US that Moscow solidly are likely to continue	peace effort with Jordan. ry to Syria in an effort to y backs its primary Arab avoidi <u>ng a specific</u>	25 X 1
	÷		•

Sanitized Copy Approved for	r Release 2010/10/15 : (CIA-RDP87T00434R000300	250023-3
!	SEUKE I		

25X1

The USSR would seek to use heightened US-Iranian tensions to make political inroads in Tehran. The Soviets might offer to provide air defense equipment and to strengthen Iran's coastal defense but would expect major political concessions in return. They would move cautiously because anything more than symbolic aid for Iran could jeopardize their stake in Iraq. Moscow thus would take this risk only if it calculated its political prospects in Iran were promising and would emphasize to Baghdad that it was acting solely in response to US actions and would not help Iran in its war with Iraq.

25X1 25X1

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/10/15 : CIA-RDP87T00434R000300250023-3	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
SUBJECT: Middle East Terrorism: The Threat and Possible US Responses	
NESA M 85-10040X	
Distribution: 1 - DCI 1 - DDCI 1 - DCI/SA/IA 1 - Executive Director 1 - Executive Registry 1 - DDI 1 - NIO/NESA 1 - NIO/USSR 1 - NIO/CT 1 - C/PES 1 - D/OGI 1 - D/SOVA 1 - D/NESA 4 - CPAS/IMD/CB 2 - NESA/PPS 6 - NESA/PG/I DDI/NESA/PG/I DDI/NESA/PG/I (15 Feb 85)	

25X1

25X1